14 July 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Special Assistant to the Director for Planning and Coordination

SUBJECT:

USIA Membership on the IAC

- 1. Current interest in the above subject is prompted by the increasing mention of it by Henry Loomis, on one occasion I believe to yourself, and prior to my vacation his inquiry as to whether I thought the best approach was for Mr. Streibert to write to the Director.
- 2. To refresh one's mind, Part 3 of the USIA survey said on this subject:
 - "j. USIA should not become a member of the IAC at this time because:
- (1) It is not believed that the contribution which USIA could make to the National Intelligence Estimates is one which would be sufficiently different from the contributions of the present IAC members.
- (2) The interagency arrangements recommended by this report can be effected without USIA membership on the IAC.
- (3) Whenever matters within the purview of USIA are to be discussed by the IAC, the DCI can invite USIA participation, as provided in NSCID-1.
- "k. The question of IAC membership should be reexamined after a sufficient amount of time has passed for the implementation of this report."

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

25X1



Ł

- a. The IAC is already too big and to add another agency would make it even more so.
- b. USIA's case is really not substantially different from that which could have been or has been made by FOA. FCDA and Treasury and accordingly if we allowed USIA into the IAC we would be liable for requests from these other agencies. Some & the foregoing agencies have a status on the NSC and OCB comparable to that of USIA.
- c. The USIA's main business is to pass information out to the public through radio and printed matter. Accordingly, USIA is not as secure as the other agencies now members of the IAC and the discussion of sensitive matters would have to be avoided in the IAC.
- 8. To the foregoing arguments USIA could reply that USIA is much more closely tied to the national security area than the other possible contenders for IAC membership; that the basis for membership should rest on the merits of the case and not whether the IAC is too big:

As for security it would be pointed out that USIA's security standards are as high as any other agency.

- 9. I do not feel that USIA membership is essential either to the IAC or USIA. In my opinion the question is mainly a political one of what can be done at this time.
- 10. The IAC at this time will be most likely loathe to admit USIA to membership. I do believe that the passage of time (as USIA, as it may, gains strength and demonstrates its contributions to intelligence both in estimates

CONFIDENTIAL

and in matters of collection) will tend to soften the resistance of the intelligence agencies. It may, however, serve as a useful purpose for Streibert to address a letter to the Director asking that a reexamination of this question be made new indicating the reasons from his point of view why membership on the IAC is desirable and important. Even if the IAC rejected the request it would have served to bring the matter to the attention of the IAC and perhaps contribute to a more favorable consideration at a later date.

11. Recommendations

That I be instructed to inform Leamis that it would be our advice that he should prepare for Mr. Streibert's signature a letter to the Director along the lines indicated above.

Office of the Director Planning and Goordination Staff

Attachment

PCS/DCI: (14 July 1955)

1 - addressee

1 - PCS/DCI

1 - PCS/DCI Chrone

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/01/02 : CIA-RDP61-00549R000300120008-2

25X1

